



HILLINGDON
LONDON



Petition Hearing - Cabinet Member for Planning, Transportation and Recycling

Date: WEDNESDAY, 12
NOVEMBER 2014

Time: 7.00 PM

Venue: COMMITTEE ROOM 3A
CIVIC CENTRE
HIGH STREET
UXBRIDGE UB8 1UW

**Meeting
Details:** Members of the Public and
Press are welcome to attend
this meeting

**This agenda and associated
reports can be made available
in other languages, in braille,
large print or on audio tape.
Please contact us for further
information.**

This Agenda is available online at:
<http://modgov.hillingdon.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?CId=252&Year=2014>

Cabinet Member hearing the petitions:

Keith Burrows, Cabinet Member for
Planning, Transportation and Recycling
(Chairman)

How the hearing works:

The petition organiser (or his/her
nominee) can address the Cabinet
Member for a short time and in turn the
Cabinet Member may also ask questions.

Local ward councillors are invited to these
hearings and may also be in attendance
to support or listen to your views.

After hearing all the views expressed, the
Cabinet Member will make a formal
decision. This decision will be published
and sent to the petition organisers shortly
after the meeting confirming the action to
be taken by the Council.

Published: Tuesday, 4 November 2014

Contact: Gill Oswell
Tel: Democratic Services Officer 01895
250693
Fax: 01895 277373
Email: goswell@hillingsdon.gov.uk

Putting our residents first

Lloyd White
Head of Democratic Services
London Borough of Hillingdon,
3E/05, Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge, UB8 1UW
www.hillingdon.gov.uk

Useful information for residents and visitors

Travel and parking

Bus routes 427, U1, U3, U4 and U7 all stop at the Civic Centre. Uxbridge underground station, with the Piccadilly and Metropolitan lines, is a short walk away. Limited parking is available at the Civic Centre. For details on availability and how to book a parking space, please contact Democratic Services

Please enter from the Council's main reception where you will be directed to the Committee Room.

Accessibility

An Induction Loop System is available for use in the various meeting rooms. Please contact us for further information.

Electronic devices

Please switch off any mobile devices before the meeting. Any recording of the meeting is not allowed, either using electronic, mobile or visual devices.

Emergency procedures

If there is a FIRE, you will hear a continuous alarm. Please follow the signs to the nearest FIRE EXIT and assemble on the Civic Centre forecourt. Lifts must not be used unless instructed by a Fire Marshal or Security Officer.

In the event of a SECURITY INCIDENT, follow instructions issued via the tannoy, a Fire Marshal or a Security Officer. Those unable to evacuate using the stairs, should make their way to the signed refuge locations.



Agenda

CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

PART 1 - MEMBERS, PUBLIC AND PRESS MAY ATTEND

- 1 Declarations of Interest in matters coming before this meeting
- 2 To confirm that the business of the meeting will take place in public.
- 3 To consider the report of the officers on the following petitions received.

Please note that individual petitions may overrun their time slots. Although individual petitions may start later than advertised, they will not start any earlier than the advertised time.

	Start Time	Title of Report	Ward	Page
4	7 pm	Request for single yellow line with parking restrictions between 10 am and 12 pm in Willow Grove, Ruislip	Manor	1 - 4
5	7 pm	Objection to planned implementation of modifications to a parking permit scheme in Princes Way, South Ruislip	South Ruislip	5 - 8
6	7.30 pm	Resident request for a zebra crossing on Victoria Road, South Ruislip between Spider Park and the Open Space opposite	South Ruislip	9 - 12

This page is intentionally left blank

PETITION REQUESTING WAITING RESTRICTIONS IN WILLOW GROVE, RUISLIP

Cabinet Member(s)	Councillor Keith Burrows
Cabinet Portfolio(s)	Cabinet Member for Planning, Transportation and Recycling
Officer Contact(s)	Kevin Urquhart, Residents Services
Papers with report	Appendix A

1. HEADLINE INFORMATION

Summary	To inform the Cabinet Member that the Council has received a petition requesting a waiting restriction to be introduced in Willow Grove, Ruislip.
Contribution to our plans and strategies	The request can be considered in relation to the Council's strategy for on-street parking controls.
Financial Cost	The estimated cost to carry out the recommendation of this report is £1,000.
Relevant Policy Overview Committee	Residents' & Environmental Services.
Ward(s) affected	Manor

2. RECOMMENDATION

That the Cabinet Member:

1. Discusses with petitioners and listens to their request for a waiting restriction in Willow Grove, Ruislip.
2. Approves for a Parking Stress Survey to be commissioned to establish the level of non-residential parking that takes place in Willow Grove, Ruislip. The results will then be reported back to Ward Councillors and the Cabinet Member for further consideration.

Reasons for recommendation

To allow the Cabinet Member to discuss with petitioners their concerns and if appropriate add their request to the parking schemes programme.

Alternative options considered / risk management

These will be discussed with petitioners.

Policy Overview Committee comments

None at this stage.

3. INFORMATION

Supporting Information

1. A petition with 31 signatures has been submitted to the Council requesting that the Council considers implementing limited time waiting restrictions in Willow Grove, Ruislip to prevent all day commuter parking.
2. Willow Grove is a residential road situated south of Ruislip town centre. Due to the close proximity to Ruislip Underground Station and the town centre, which is easily accessible via public footpath R167, this road forms an attractive area for non-residents to park. It is also one of the nearest roads to the Ruislip Underground Station in terms of walking distance which has no form of parking restrictions in place. The location of Willow Grove is indicated on the plan attached as Appendix A.
3. This petition has been signed by 31 households of Willow Grove which represents 53% of the total number of properties in the road. Petitioners have indicated they would like to see limited time waiting restrictions operational between 10am and midday but have not specified if this should only apply just to weekdays or all week.
4. Following discussion the Local Ward Councillors have suggested that the Council arranges a parking stress survey to establish the extent of the difficulties that the residents of Willow Grove are experiencing with non-residential parking before determining if parking restrictions could be considered.
5. It is therefore recommended that the Cabinet Member considers the petitioners' request and if considered appropriate instructs officers to commission a comprehensive independent parking stress survey in Willow Grove to determine the extent of non-residential parking that is taking place. The results of the survey will then be reported back to the Ward Councillors and the Cabinet Member for further consideration.

Financial Implications

The estimated cost to carry out the recommendation of this report and commission an independent parking stress survey is estimated to cost £1,000. These costs can be funded from the 2014/15 LIP budget subject to the Council's usual capital release protocols.

4. EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES

What will be the effect of the recommendation?

To allow the Cabinet Member to consider the petitioners request and available options the Council has to address these concerns.

Consultation Carried Out or Required

If the Council decides in the future to propose parking restriction in Willow Grove, consultation will need to be carried out with residents to establish if there is overall support.

5. CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

Corporate Finance

Corporate finance has reviewed the report and the financial implications above, noting that a further capital release request from the TfL Local Implementation Plan funding requires authorisation before this survey can be carried out. The costs involved will be contained within this funding and therefore will have no impact on General Fund resources.

Legal

There are no special legal implications for the proposal for an independent parking stress survey. Fairness and natural justice requires that there must be no predetermination of a decision in advance of any parking stress survey.

In considering any parking stress survey response decision makers must ensure there is a full consideration of all representations arising including those which do not accord with the officer recommendation. Accordingly, the Council must balance all the concerns with its statutory duty to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic. The decision maker must be satisfied that responses from the public and the parking stress survey are conscientiously taken into account.

Should the outcome of the parking stress survey require that officers add the request to either the Council's overall parking programme or the Council's Road Safety Programme for subsequent investigation there will need to be consideration of Highways Act 1980, the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2002, which govern road traffic orders, traffic signs and road markings. If specific advice is required in relation to the exercise of individual powers Legal Services should be instructed.

Corporate Property and Construction

There are no property implications resulting from the recommendations in this report.

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Petition received – 19th August 2014



Willow Grove - Area plan

Appendix A

Date October 2014

Scale 1:4,500

PRINCES WAY, SOUTH RUISLIP - PETITION AGAINST THE RESIDENTS' PERMIT PARKING SCHEME

Cabinet Member(s)	Councillor Keith Burrows
Cabinet Portfolio(s)	Cabinet Member for Planning, Transportation and Recycling
Officer Contact(s)	Steven Austin, Residents Services
Papers with report	Appendix A

1. HEADLINE INFORMATION

Summary	To inform the Cabinet Member that the Council has received a petition opposing the introduction of a residents' permit parking scheme in Princes Way, South Ruislip.
Contribution to our plans and strategies	The request can be considered as part of the Council's strategy for residents parking schemes.
Financial Cost	There are none associated with the recommendations to this report.
Relevant Policy Overview Committee	Residents' and Environmental Services.
Ward(s) affected	South Ruislip

2. RECOMMENDATION

That the Cabinet Member:

- 1. Meets and discusses with petitioners their objections to the implementation of a residents' permit parking scheme in Princes Way, South Ruislip.**
- 2. Notes the decision made on the representations from the previous formal consultation undertaken as a direct result of a petition and requests from residents.**
- 3. Subject to the outcome of the above decides if any further action should be considered in respect of the introduction of a Parking Management Scheme in Princes Way.**

Reasons for recommendation

The petition hearing will provide a valuable opportunity to hear directly from the petitioners of their concerns and suggestions.

Alternative options considered / risk management

None at this stage.

Policy Overview Committee comments

None at this stage.

3. INFORMATION

Supporting Information

1. A petition with a total of 93 signatures of which 21 are deemed valid in terms of the Council's Constitution, has been submitted to the Council under the following heading:

"Petition to protest against proposed Residents' Parking Scheme in Princes Way, South Ruislip".

2. The petition has been signed by residents of Victoria Road, Brakenhill. Jubilee Drive and Diamond Road which are all in immediate proximity to Princes Way. A plan of the area is attached as Appendix A to this report.

3. In an attached letter to the petition, the lead petitioner states that the implementation of a parking permit scheme will have "an adverse affect on the parking for everyone with the exception of Princes Way".

4. As the Cabinet Member will recall residents of Princes Way petitioned the Council asking for a residents only parking scheme operational Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm. As a consequence a detailed design was prepared and was subject to a 21 day formal consultation process in June 2014.

5. During this formal consultation process a second petition of 15 signatures signed by residents of Victoria Road was received opposing permit parking on Victoria Road as well as three individual comments. These have already been considered by the Cabinet Member in a separate report.

6. As a result of the various comments received and after lengthy and detailed deliberations with local ward councillors, a decision was made to progress an amended scheme that would provide permit parking in part of Princes Way and leave areas close to Victoria Road unrestricted, which provides residents of nearby roads an opportunity to park without the need for a permit.

7. The petition submitted asks that the proposals to implement a parking permit scheme in Princes Way be abandoned rather than that the surrounding roads are consulted to see if they would like to consider options to manage the parking. It is therefore recommended that the Cabinet Member listens to petitioners' concerns and decides if any further action can be recommended in respect of this matter.

Financial Implications

There are no financial implications associated with the recommendations to this report. If works are subsequently required, suitable funding will need to be identified.

4. EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES

What will be the effect of the recommendation?

To allow the Cabinet Member an opportunity to discuss in detail with petitioners their concerns.

Consultation Carried Out or Required

The Council has previously carried out statutory consultation to introduce a Parking Management Scheme on Princes Way.

5. CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

Corporate Finance

Corporate Finance has reviewed this report and confirms that there are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations set out above.

Legal

There are no special legal implications for the proposal to discuss with petitioners their request objections to the implementation of the residents' parking permit parking scheme in Princes Way, which amounts to an informal consultation. A meeting with the petitioners is perfectly legitimate as part of a listening exercise, especially where consideration of the policy, factual and engineering issues are still at a formative stage. Fairness and natural justice requires that there must be no predetermination of a decision in advance of any wider non-statutory consultation.

In considering any informal consultation responses, decision makers must ensure there is a full consideration of all representations arising including those which do not accord with the officer recommendation. The decision maker must be satisfied that responses from the public are conscientiously taken into account.

Should there be a decision that further measures are to be considered then the relevant statutory provisions will have to be identified and considered.

Corporate Property and Construction

There are no Corporate Property and Construction implications resulting from the recommendations set out in this report.

Relevant Service Groups

None at this stage.

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Petition received.



Location Plan
Princes Way, Ruislip

Date August 2014

Scale 1:4,000

Agenda Item 6

VICTORIA ROAD, RUISLIP - PETITION REQUESTING A PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

Cabinet Member(s)	Councillor Keith Burrows
Cabinet Portfolio(s)	Planning, Transportation and Recycling
Officer Contact(s)	Catherine Freeman, Residents Services
Papers with report	Appendix A - Location Plan

1. HEADLINE INFORMATION

Summary	To inform the Cabinet Member that the Council has received a petition asking for a pedestrian crossing on Victoria Road.
Contribution to our plans and strategies	The request can be considered as part of the Council's Road Safety Programme.
Financial Cost	There are no direct costs associated with the recommendations to this report.
Relevant Policy Overview Committee	Residents' & Environmental Services
Ward(s) affected	Manor, Cavendish and South Ruislip Wards

2. RECOMMENDATION

That the Cabinet Member:

- 1. Meets with petitioners and considers their request for a new pedestrian crossing on Victoria Road, Ruislip.**
- 2. Subject to the above asks officers to add the petitioners' request to the Council's Road Safety Programme for further investigation.**
- 3. Subject to the above, asks officers to undertake classified traffic volume and speed survey(s) at location(s) to be agreed with the petitioners and the relevant Ward Members.**

Reasons for recommendation

The petition hearing will provide a valuable opportunity to hear directly from the petitioners of their concerns and suggestions.

Alternative options considered / risk management

None at this stage.

Policy Overview Committee comments

None at this stage.

3. INFORMATION

Supporting Information

1. A petition with 50 signatures has been submitted to the Council under the following heading:

"We, the undersigned, request a meeting with the Cabinet Member [for Planning, Transportation and Recycling] to discuss the introduction of a zebra crossing on Victoria Road, South Ruislip, between Spider Park and the open space opposite for the safety of children crossing from the open space to the park".

2. Victoria Road is classified as a Borough Secondary Distributor Road and is served by Bus Route 114. There is an open green space on both sides of Victoria Road between its junctions with Torcross Road and Dartmouth Road / Whitby Road which is intersected by the Yeading Brook. Pedestrians can access Spider Park from a footpath on the south western side of Victoria Road approximately 50 metres northwest of its junction with Torcross Road. A location plan is attached as Appendix A to this report.

3. The petition has been signed by residents of the South Ruislip area including five residents who live on Victoria Road. The petitioners appear to be requesting a zebra crossing on Victoria Road in the vicinity of the footpath leading to Spider Park. Initial investigations have indicated that there is an existing pedestrian refuge island and as well as an existing north-westbound bus stop and bus cage on this section of Victoria Road. In addition, a letter supporting the petitioners' request has been received from the South Ruislip Residents' Association.

4. Analysis of the latest available Police recorded personal injury accident data for the three year period ending May 2014 has indicated that there have been no accidents on Victoria Road between its junctions with Torcross Road and Dartmouth Road / Whitby Road.

5. It is recommended that the Cabinet Member meets the petitioners and listens to their concerns and decides if this report should be added to the Council's Road Safety Programme for further investigation.

Financial Implications

There are no financial implications associated with the recommendations to this report. If after further investigation any measures are subsequently approved by the Council, funding would need to be identified from a suitable source.

4. EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES

What will be the effect of the recommendation?

To allow the Cabinet Member an opportunity to discuss in detail with petitioners their concerns.

Consultation Carried Out or Required

None at this.

5. CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

Corporate Finance

Corporate Finance has reviewed this report and confirms that there are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations set out above.

Legal

There are no special legal implications with the Cabinet Member to meet and discuss with petitioners their request for a pedestrian crossing on the Victoria Road in Ruislip, which amounts to an informal consultation. A meeting with the petitioners is perfectly legitimate as part of a listening exercise. Fairness and natural justice requires that there must be no predetermination of a decision in advance of any wider non-statutory consultation.

In considering any informal consultation responses, decision makers must ensure there is a full consideration of all representations arising including those which do not accord with the officer recommendation. The decision maker must be satisfied that responses from the public are conscientiously taken into account.

Should there be a decision that further measures are to be considered, including the possible provision of the pedestrian crossing, then the relevant statutory provisions will have to be identified and considered.

Corporate Property and Construction


There are no property implications resulting from the recommendations set out in this report.

Relevant Service Groups

None at this stage.

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Petition received.

<p>KEY</p> <p>----- Ward Boundary</p>	<p>This map is based upon Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey. It is not to be used for navigation. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or imprisonment. © Crown copyright. Ordnance Survey. 100019283, 2014.</p>		<p>Project</p> <p>VICTORIA ROAD, RUISLIP</p>
<p>Description</p> <p>LOCATION PLAN</p>		<p>Scale: Drawn NTS, CF 10/14, Checked (Initials/Date)</p>	<p>Project No. Drawing No. Rev.</p>

